

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

**SUNNY DAYS ENTERTAINMENT, LLC, §
§
Plaintiff, §
§
vs. §
§
TRAXXAS, L.P., §
§
Defendant. §**

Civil Action No. 6:18-cv-15-DCC

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DEFENDANT TRAXXAS, L.P.'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT
AND COUNTERCLAIMS**

Defendant Traxxas, L.P. (“Traxxas”) hereby answers each numbered paragraph of Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 8) (“Complaint”) by denying each and every allegation unless it is specifically admitted as follows:

PARTIES

1. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 1 and therefore denies same.
2. Traxxas admits the allegations of Paragraph 2.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Traxxas admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction for the declaratory judgment claims asserted in the Complaint pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Traxxas admits that this action also related to alleged trademark rights under 15 U.S.C. § 1114, § 1125, et seq. (“the Lanham Act”). Traxxas admits that this Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Traxxas

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 and therefore denies same.

4. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 4.

5. Traxxas admits that it sells its products online and through various dealer stores and that it makes its products available to customers all over the United States, including the State of South Carolina. Traxxas denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 5.

BACKGROUND

6. Traxxas admits that it sells radio-controlled model vehicles and related parts and motors. Traxxas denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6.

7. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 7 and therefore denies same.

8. Traxxas admits the allegations of Paragraph 8.

9. Traxxas admits that, on September 6, 2017, Plaintiff filed a trademark application with the USPTO to register MAXX ACTION for “Role play toys in the nature of playsets for children to imitate real life occupation; Toys, namely, toy bows and arrows, toy guns, toy trucks, toy vehicles,” Application Serial No. 87598578. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 9 and therefore denies same.

10. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 10 and therefore denies same.

11. Traxxas admits the allegations of Paragraph 11.

12. Traxxas admits the allegations of Paragraph 12.

13. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 13 and therefore denies same.

14. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 14 and therefore denies same.

15. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 15.

16. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 16 and therefore denies same.

17. Traxxas admits that it sells its radio-controlled model vehicles through its own website, Traxxas.com, to specialized hobby shops, and through Amazon.com. Traxxas denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 17.

18. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 18 and therefore denies same.

19. Traxxas admits that its website includes the text: “IMPORTANT! TRAXXAS PRODUCTS ARE NOT DESIGNED FOR CHILDREN OR MINORS YOUNGER THAN 14 YEARS OLD. RESPONSIBLE ADULT SUPERVISION IS REQUIRED DURING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.” Traxxas also admits that its website includes a “Skill Level Guide.” Traxxas denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 19.

20. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 20 and therefore denies same.

21. Traxxas admits the allegations of Paragraph 21.

22. Traxxas admits that the Cease and Desist Letter demands that Sunny Days “immediately cease and desist from any and all use and intended use of the MAXX ACTION mark in connection with role play toys in the nature of playsets for children to imitate real life

occupation; toys, namely, toy bows and arrows, toy guns, toy trucks, toy vehicles and related goods.” Traxxas denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 22.

23. Traxxas admits that the Cease and Desist Letter demands that “Sunny Days Entertainment LLC immediately expressly abandon in its entirety U.S. Trademark Application No. 87/598,578 for the MAXX ACTION mark” and further notes “that Traxxas intends to file a Notice of Opposition against U.S. Trademark Application No. 87/598,578 for the MAXX ACTION mark if and when the application is published by the USPTO.” Traxxas denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 23.

24. Traxxas admits the allegations of Paragraph 24.

25. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 25.

26. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 26.

27. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 27.

28. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 28.

29. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 29.

COUNT I

No Infringement by Plaintiff of Alleged Trademark Rights Asserted by Defendant

30. Traxxas re-alleges and incorporates by reference its response to each of the numbered Paragraphs, above, as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith, denying all allegations not expressly admitted.

31. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 31.

32. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 32.

33. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 33.

34. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 34.

35. Traxxas admits that Sunny Days purports to request a declaratory judgment that Defendant's alleged trademark rights are not infringed by Plaintiff's actions. Traxxas denies that Sunny Days is entitled to such a declaratory judgment and further denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 35.

36. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 36.

COUNT II

Plaintiff's Right to Register its Trademark

37. Traxxas re-alleges and incorporates by reference its response to each of the numbered Paragraphs, above, as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith, denying all allegations not expressly admitted.

38. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 38.

39. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 39.

40. Traxxas admits that Sunny Days purports to request a declaratory judgment that, when considering Defendant's Registered Marks, no likelihood of confusion would occur by the continued use of, and the subsequent federal registration of, Plaintiff's MAXX ACTION trademark. Traxxas denies that Sunny Days is entitled to such a declaratory judgment and further denies any remaining allegations of Paragraph 40.

41. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 41.

COUNT II [sic]¹

Tortious Interference with Contract

42. Traxxas re-alleges and incorporates by reference its response to each of the numbered Paragraphs, above, as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith, denying all allegations not expressly admitted.

43. Traxxas lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 43 and therefore denies same.

44. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 44.

45. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 45.

46. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 46.

47. Traxxas denies the allegations of Paragraph 47.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Traxxas denies that Sunny Days is entitled to any relief and specifically denies that Sunny Days is entitled to any of the relief requested in Paragraphs A-E of the Complaint.

COUNTERCLAIMS

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 13(a), for its counterclaims against Sunny Days Entertainment, LLC, Traxxas alleges as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE SUIT

48. This is a claim for infringement of a federally registered trademark, unfair competition, dilution, and cancellation of a federal trademark application arising under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*, and related claims for trademark dilution under Texas Business and

¹ Paragraphs 42-47 of the Amended Complaint assert the third count titled as “II”.

Commerce Code § 16.103 and trademark infringement, unfair competition, and unjust enrichment under Texas common law.

II. THE PARTIES

49. Plaintiff **Traxxas, L.P.** (“**Traxxas**”) is a Texas limited partnership that maintains its principal place of business in McKinney, Texas.

50. Upon information and belief, Defendant **Sunny Days Entertainment, LLC** (“**Plaintiff**” or “**Sunny Days**”) is a South Carolina corporation having a principal place of business in Simpsonville, South Carolina.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

51. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal trademark infringement, unfair competition, dilution, and denial of federal trademark application claims because those claims arise under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*

52. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state trademark infringement, unfair competition, trademark dilution, and unjust enrichment claims because those claims arise from the same nucleus of operative facts as the federal trademark infringement, unfair competition, dilution, and denial of trademark application claims.

53. This Court has general personal jurisdiction over Sunny Days because Sunny Days is a corporation organized under the laws of this judicial District and that maintains its principal place of business in this judicial District.

54. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over Sunny Days as to these claims because these claims arise from the same nucleus of operative facts as Sunny Days’ Complaint,

for which Sunny Days has voluntarily subjected itself to this Court's jurisdiction by filing said Complaint in this judicial District.

55. This Court additionally has specific personal jurisdiction over Sunny Days as to these claims pursuant to due process and the South Carolina Long Arm Statute because these claims arise from Sunny Days' acts or omissions in this State.

56. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) for the reasons set forth above. Furthermore, venue is proper because Sunny Days, directly or through intermediaries, sells and offers to sell infringing products to persons in this District, as discussed below. Each of Sunny Days' infringing acts in this District gives rise to proper venue.

IV. BACKGROUND

A. Traxxas and Its Trademarks

57. The business Traxxas operates was started in 1986. Since that time, Traxxas has grown to become the number-one seller of Ready-to-Run nitro and electric model vehicles in the United States.

58. Since at least September 12, 1986, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters "TRAXXAS" (the "TRAXXAS Mark") in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

59. On February 6, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 3,205,399, which comprises the standard characters "TRAXXAS" as applied to radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor in International Class 028.

60. Traxxas' right to use its TRAXXAS Mark has become incontestable.

61. In 1999, Traxxas launched the T-MAXX, the first nitro radio-controlled model vehicle with a true forward/reverse transmission.

62. Since at least November 28, 1999, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters “T-MAXX” (the “T-MAXX Mark”) in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

63. On November 7, 2006, the USPTO duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 3,169,710 (the “T-MAXX Registration”), which comprises the standard characters “T-MAXX” as applied to radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor in International Class 028. A true and correct copy of the T-MAXX Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

64. Traxxas’ right to use its T-MAXX Mark has become incontestable.

65. Since at least December 1999, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters “MAXX” (the “MAXX Mark”) in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

66. On January 2, 2007, the USPTO duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 3,191,106 (the “MAXX Registration”), which comprises the standard characters “MAXX” as applied to radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor in International Class 028. A true and correct copy of the MAXX Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

67. Traxxas’ right to use its MAXX Mark has become incontestable.

68. Since at least December 4, 2000, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters “E-MAXX” (the “E-MAXX Mark”) in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

69. On May 12, 2009, the USPTO duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 3,619,270 (the “E-MAXX Registration”), which comprises the standard characters “E-MAXX” as applied to radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor in International Class 028. A true and correct copy of the E-MAXX Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

70. Traxxas’ right to use its E-MAXX Mark has become incontestable.

71. Since at least July 17, 2009, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters “MINI MAXX” (the “MINI MAXX Mark”) in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

72. On October 13, 2009, the USPTO duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 3,697,101 (the “MINI MAXX Registration”), which comprises the standard characters “MINI MAXX” as applied to radio controlled scale model vehicles equipped with an electric motor or an internal combustion engine, a wireless control signal receiver mounted on the vehicle enabling remote control of vehicle speed and steering, and parts therefor in International Class 028. A true and correct copy of the MINI MAXX Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

73. Since at least October 30, 2015, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters “X-MAXX” (the “X-MAXX Mark”) in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

74. On December 27, 2016, the USPTO duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 5,110,758 (the “X-MAXX Registration”), which comprises the standard characters “X-MAXX” as applied to radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor

in International Class 028. A true and correct copy of the X-MAXX Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

75. Since at least November 20, 2015, Traxxas has continuously used the standard characters “XMAXX” (the “XMAXX Mark”) in interstate commerce to identify, advertise, and promote its radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor to the consuming public.

76. On December 27, 2016, the USPTO duly and legally issued to Traxxas United States Trademark Registration No. 5,110,764 (the “XMAXX Registration”), which comprises the standard characters “XMAXX” as applied to radio-controlled model vehicles and parts therefor in International Class 028. A true and correct copy of the XMAXX Registration is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

77. The TRAXXAS Mark, on one hand, and the T-MAXX Mark, the MAXX Mark, the E-MAXX Mark, the MINI MAXX Mark, the X-MAXX Mark, and the XMAXX Mark (collectively, the “Traxxas MAXX Marks”), on the other hand, each contain the standard characters “XX.”

78. As a result of Traxxas’ long use and promotion of the Traxxas MAXX Marks, the Traxxas MAXX Marks have become distinctive to designate Traxxas, to distinguish Traxxas and its products from those of others, and to distinguish the source or origin of Traxxas’ products. As a result of these efforts by Traxxas, the consuming public in Texas and throughout the United States widely recognizes and associates the Traxxas MAXX Marks with Traxxas.

79. As a result of Traxxas’ long use and promotion of the Traxxas MAXX Marks in Texas and elsewhere, Traxxas has acquired valuable common law rights in the Traxxas MAXX Marks.

80. The Traxxas MAXX Marks are famous pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) and Texas Business and Commerce Code § 16.103.

B. Sunny Days' Infringing Activities

81. Upon information and belief, Sunny Days, directly or through intermediaries, sells, offers for sale, distributes, and advertises role play toys (for children to imitate real life occupations) as well as toy bows and arrows, toy guns, toy trucks, and toy vehicles under the name "MAXX ACTION" (the "Infringing Mark"). Examples of Sunny Days' use of the Infringing Mark are attached hereto as Exhibit 7.

82. Sunny Days, directly or through intermediaries, purposefully and voluntarily places products bearing the Infringing Mark into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased by consumers in this District.

83. Sunny Days' products bearing the Infringing Mark are sold and offered for sale in this District.

84. Sunny Days is using the Infringing Mark in commerce.

85. Sunny Days is not affiliated with or sponsored by Traxxas and has not been authorized by Traxxas to use the Traxxas MAXX Marks or any confusingly similar marks.

86. Sunny Days began using the Infringing Mark after the Traxxas MAXX Marks became famous.

C. Effect of Sunny Days' Infringing Activities

87. Sunny Days' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive customers and potential customers of the parties, at least as to some affiliation, connection, or association of Sunny Days with Traxxas, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Sunny Days' products by Traxxas.

88. Sunny Days' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark falsely designates the origin of its products and falsely and misleadingly describes and represents facts with respect to Sunny Days and its products.

89. Sunny Days' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark enables Sunny Days to trade on and receive the benefit of goodwill built up at great labor and expense by Traxxas over many years, and to gain acceptance for its products not solely on their own merits, but on the reputation and goodwill of Traxxas, its Traxxas MAXX Marks, and its products.

90. Sunny Days' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark is likely to cause dilution of the famous Traxxas MAXX Marks.

91. Sunny Days' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark unjustly enriches Sunny Days at Traxxas' expense. Sunny Days has been and continues to be unjustly enriched, obtaining a benefit from Traxxas by taking undue advantage of Traxxas and its goodwill.

92. Specifically, Sunny Days has taken unfair advantage of Traxxas by trading on and profiting from the goodwill in the Traxxas MAXX Marks developed and owned by Traxxas, resulting in Sunny Days wrongfully obtaining a monetary and reputational benefit for its own business and products.

93. Sunny Days' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark removes from Traxxas the ability to control the nature and quality of products provided under the Traxxas MAXX Marks and places the valuable reputation and goodwill of Traxxas in the hands of Sunny Days, over whom Traxxas has no control.

94. Unless these acts of Sunny Days are restrained by this Court, these acts will continue and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Traxxas and to the public for which there

is no adequate remedy at law. This harm is inflicted on Traxxas in the State of Texas and throughout the United States.

D. Sunny Days' MAXX ACTION Registration

95. On September 6, 2017, Sunny Days filed with the USPTO Application Serial No. 87/598,578 (the "MAXX ACTION Application") for the standard characters "MAXX ACTION" as applied to "Role play toys in the nature of playsets for children to imitate real life occupation; Toys, namely, toy bows and arrows, toy guns, toy trucks, toy vehicles" in International Class 028 (the "MAXX ACTION Mark").

96. On May 22, 2018, the USPTO issued to Sunny Days United States Trademark Registration No. 5,474,076 (the "MAXX ACTION Registration"), which comprises the standard characters "MAXX ACTION" as applied to "Role play toys in the nature of playsets for children to imitate real life occupation; Toys, namely, toy bows and arrows, toy guns, toy trucks, toy vehicles" in International Class 028.

97. By virtue of Traxxas' prior and senior rights arising from use of its Traxxas MAXX Marks, Sunny Days is barred from maintaining a registration of the same mark, because the registration by Sunny Days of the MAXX ACTION mark for similar goods and services as those provided by Traxxas, without Traxxas' authorization, creates a likelihood of confusion under Section 2(d) of the Trademark Act (15 U.S.C. § 1052(d)) that there exists a common source, affiliation, and sponsorship with the goods and services provided by Traxxas in connection with its Traxxas MAXX Marks.

98. If Sunny Days is permitted to maintain the MAXX ACTION Registration, and thereby maintain the *prima facie* exclusive right to use the MAXX ACTION Mark in commerce

for its goods and services, Traxxas will be harmed in that a cloud will be placed on Traxxas' title in and to its Traxxas MAXX Marks and its right to enjoy the free and exclusive use thereof.

99. At least through a letter dated December 4, 2017, Traxxas has notified Sunny Days of Traxxas' rights in the Traxxas MAXX Marks.

V. CLAIMS

A. Federal Trademark Infringement

100. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-99 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

101. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute infringement of Traxxas' federally registered Traxxas MAXX Marks in willful violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).

B. Federal Unfair Competition

102. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-101 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

103. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute unfair competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

C. Federal Trademark Dilution

104. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-103 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

105. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute dilution of Traxxas' famous Traxxas MAXX Marks in willful violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

D. Texas Trademark Infringement

106. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-105 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

107. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute trademark infringement in violation of Texas state common law.

E. Texas Unfair Competition

108. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-107 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

109. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute unfair competition in violation of Texas state common law, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

F. Texas Trademark Dilution

110. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-109 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

111. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute dilution of Traxxas' famous Traxxas MAXX Marks in willful violation of Texas Business and Commerce Code § 16.103.

G. Texas Unjust Enrichment

112. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-111 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

113. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein constitute unjust enrichment of Sunny Days at Traxxas' expense in violation of Texas state common law.

H. Denial of the MAXX ACTION Application

114. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-113 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

115. By virtue of Traxxas' prior and senior rights arising from its prior use of its Traxxas MAXX Marks, Sunny Days is barred from maintaining the MAXX ACTION Registration because

Sunny Days' registration of the MAXX ACTION Mark, without Traxxas' authorization, creates a likelihood of confusion under Section 2(d) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1052(d).

VI. DAMAGES

116. Traxxas repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of the Paragraphs 48-115 above as if fully set forth herein, to the extent not inconsistent herewith.

117. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein have damaged Traxxas in an amount to be proven at trial, but no less than Sunny Days' profits under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

118. Sunny Days' acts complained of herein have been deliberate, willful, intentional, or in bad faith, with full knowledge and conscious disregard of Traxxas' rights in the Traxxas MAXX Marks, and with intent to cause confusion, to trade on Traxxas' goodwill in the Traxxas MAXX Marks and on the recognition of Traxxas' famous Traxxas MAXX Marks, and/or to harm the reputation of the Traxxas MAXX Marks. In view of the egregious nature of Sunny Days' acts, this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Traxxas respectfully requests the following relief:

- a. A judgment in favor of Traxxas that Sunny Days has infringed the Traxxas MAXX Marks under federal and Texas state common law, as described herein;
- b. A judgment in favor of Traxxas that Sunny Days has unfairly competed with Traxxas under federal and Texas state common law, as described herein;
- c. A judgment in favor of Traxxas that Sunny Days has diluted Traxxas' famous Traxxas MAXX Marks under federal and Texas state common law, as described herein;
- d. A judgment in favor of Traxxas that Sunny Days has been unjustly enriched at Traxxas' expense under Texas state common law, as described herein;

e. A judgment and order directing the USPTO to cancel the MAXX ACTION Registration;

f. A permanent injunction:

- (1) enjoining Sunny Days, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries, employees, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting in privity, concert, or participation with it, from using the Traxxas MAXX Marks and any other mark or design that is confusingly similar to or likely to cause dilution of the Traxxas MAXX Marks (including but not limited to the Infringing Mark), and from any attempt to retain any part of the goodwill misappropriated from Traxxas;
- (2) requiring Sunny Days, its officers, directors, agents, subsidiaries, employees, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting in privity, concert, or participation with it, to deliver up and destroy all products bearing the Infringing Mark, as well as all signage, advertisements, commercials, Internet postings and advertisements, and any other material bearing or using the Infringing Mark or any other mark or design that is confusingly similar to or likely to cause dilution of the Traxxas MAXX Marks; and
- (3) requiring Sunny Days to file with this Court and to serve upon Traxxas, within thirty days after the entry and service on Sunny Days of the injunction, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Sunny Days has complied with the injunction;

- g. A judgment and order directing an accounting to determine Sunny Days' profits resulting from the activities complained of herein, including Sunny Days' profits for any continuing post-verdict or post-judgment activities, and that such profits be paid over to Traxxas, increased as the Court finds to be just under the circumstances of this case;
- h. A judgment and order requiring Sunny Days to pay Traxxas its damages sustained as a result of Sunny Days' activities described herein, including supplemental damages for any continuing post-verdict or post-judgment activities with an accounting as needed, and that such damages be trebled;
- i. A judgment and order requiring Sunny Days to pay Traxxas its costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- j. A judgment and order requiring Sunny Days to pay Traxxas its reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- k. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

VIII. JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Traxxas requests a jury trial of all issues triable of right by a jury.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

By: s/William S. Brown

William S. Brown

Federal Bar No. 5929

E-Mail: william.brown@nelsonmullins.com

David C. Dill

Federal Bar No. 11860

E-Mail: david.dill@nelsonmullins.com

104 South Main Street / Ninth Floor

Post Office Box 10084 (29603-0084)

Greenville, SC 29601

(864) 373-2300

William E. Davis, III

Admitted pro hac vice, Texas State Bar No. 24047416

bdavis@bdavisfirm.com

Debra Coleman

Admitted pro hac vice, Texas State Bar No. 24059595

dcoleman@bdavisfirm.com

The Davis Firm, PC

213 N. Fredonia Street, Suite 230

Longview, Texas 75601

Telephone: (903) 230-9090

Facsimile: (903) 230-9661

Attorneys for Traxxas, L.P.

Greenville, South Carolina

April 8, 2019